

Protected Cultivation Technologies for Sustained Income

Maninder Singh

Founder & CEO, CEF Group

India feeds over a billion people. Yet every year, farmers struggle with weather volatility, pest pressure, and market unpredictability that erases months of hard work in days. Much of this loss is preventable. The answer isn't a magic seed or a government scheme. It's a shift in how we grow from open-field dependence to structures that give farmers a fighting chance against nature's worst days.

Protected cultivation- growing crops under polyhouses, net houses, shade nets, and low tunnels has been around for decades. What's changed is the economics. Technology costs have dropped. Drip irrigation and fertigation systems are more accessible than ever. And the data from farms across Maharashtra, Punjab, Himachal Pradesh, and Karnataka is unambiguous: farmers who shift to protected structures see yield improvements of 40 to 300 percent, depending on the crop, and a dramatic reduction in input losses.

WHAT 'PROTECTED CULTIVATION' ACTUALLY MEANS ON THE GROUND

This term gets used loosely. Protected cultivation is not one technology, it's a spectrum. At the simpler end, a shade



net over a tomato crop in Rajasthan can cut heat stress losses by 30 percent at a cost a small farmer can justify. At the more sophisticated end, a climate-controlled polyhouse growing capsicum or exotic floriculture for export markets can transform a landholding's economics entirely.

The key technologies worth understanding are: walk-in tunnels and low tunnels for seasonal vegetables; naturally ventilated polyhouses (NVPs) for year-round cultivation of tomatoes, capsicum, cucumber, and gerbera; net houses for nursery development and insect exclusion; and fog or mist cooling systems for hot climate regions. Each

has a different cost-benefit profile, the right choice depends on the crop, climate zone, water availability, and market access.

THE INCOME EQUATION: WHAT THE NUMBERS TELL US

The income differential between open-field and protected cultivation is consistently striking. A farmer growing tomatoes in an open field in North India typically gets two to three harvests annually, with losses from pest, disease, and rain frequently exceeding 25 to 35 percent of yield. The same farmer with a naturally ventilated polyhouse on even half a hectare can achieve near year-round production, with losses under 10 percent and a price premium from consistent, off-season supply.

Net income per hectare under open cultivation typically ranges from Rs.2 to 4 lakhs annually. Under protected structures, this figure regularly crosses Rs.8 to 15 lakhs per hectare for similar crops and significantly more for floriculture. The capital cost of a NVP ranges from Rs.15 to 35 lakhs per hectare, but with subsidy support under the National Horticulture Mission, farmer contribution can be reduced substantially. Payback



periods of three to five years are commonly achieved.

POLYHOUSE DESIGN AND CROP MATCHING: GETTING IT RIGHT

One of the most common mistakes is poor crop-structure matching. A high-humidity crop like cucumber in a poorly ventilated polyhouse in a hot, humid region will underperform not because the technology fails, but because the design wasn't right for the environment. Agronomic planning before construction is essential.

UV-stabilised polyethylene films with anti-drip, anti-fog, and diffused light properties improve light distribution and reduce disease from condensation. Insect-proof netting at 40 to 50 mesh excludes whitefly and thrips, significantly cutting pesticide dependency. Combined with drip-based fertigation that delivers nutrients directly to the root zone, these structures create growing conditions that open fields simply cannot replicate.

WHAT NEEDS TO CHANGE FOR WIDER ADOPTION

The technology works. The economics justify adoption. So what is slowing us down? Three things.

First, affordable credit. Subsidy schemes exist but disbursement is slow and documentation requirements are prohibitive for farmers without formal land records or banking history.

Second, last-mile technical support. A polyhouse requires ongoing management humidity control, pruning, integrated pest management, fertigation scheduling. Farmers who invest without support underperform and blame the technology, not the management gap.

Third, market linkage must be pre-arranged, not post-production. Without an aggregator, cold chain, or institutional buyer in place before harvest, even a quality crop can end in distress sale. Protected cultivation must be planned as part of an end-to-end value chain.

THE OPPORTUNITY IN FRONT OF US

Climate variability is no longer a future risk, it is a present reality farmers deal with every season. Greenhouses and polyhouses are not luxury infrastructure for large commercial farms. Designed and supported correctly, they are practical tools for

income stabilisation that small and medium farmers across India can access and benefit from.

The agricultural engineering community has a central role: innovate on structure cost and durability, develop climate-appropriate designs for India's diverse agro-ecological zones, and build the service networks that make adoption sustainable beyond the first season. Government must streamline the subsidy pipeline. And practitioners must document and share what actually works, so the next farmer makes decisions based on evidence, not a brochure.

Protected cultivation is not a silver bullet. But it is one of the most reliable tools we have to shift Indian agriculture from subsistence risk to sustainable income. That's worth building seriously.

